

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



	Audit Details						
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC:4245074		Sedex Site R (only availabl System)		ZS:4398004		
Business name (Company name):	JMS Trading Company						
Site name:	JMS Trading Company						
Site address: (Please include full address)	Plot No F-482, Metroville, SITE Karachi, Pakistan		Country:		Pakistan		
Site contact and job title:	Mr. Muhammad Tanv	eer M	asood - Systen	n and compliar	nce Offi	cer	
Site phone:	+92-21-32564860-64		Site e-mail:		sadiq(@jmstex.net	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	□ Labour Standards □			Environr 4-pillar	nent	Business Ethics	
Date of Audit:	October 19, 2022.						

Audit Company Name & Logo:



Report Owner (payer):

(If paid for by the customer of the site please remove for Sedex upload)

JMS Trading Company

Audit Conducted By							
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes	Purchaser		Retailer			
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union			
Multi- stakeholder		Combined Audit (select all that apply)					

Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Zeeshan Aslam Team auditor: Taimoor Ali Interviewers: Taimoor Ali

Report writer: Zeeshan Aslam Report reviewer: Sadaf Pyarali

Date of declaration: October 19,2022

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a samplina exercise only and does not augrantee that the audited site prior, during or post-audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

	Audit Parameters						
A: Time in and time out	A1: Day 1 Time in: 09:30 A2: Day 1 Time out: 18:20	Day 2 Time in: Day 2 Time out:	Day 3 Time in: Day 3 Time out:				
B: Number of auditor days used:	2 auditors on 1 day = 2 ma	an-days					
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define:						
D: Was the audit announced?	☐ Announced ☐ Semi – announced: Wild ☐ Unannounced	ndow detail: 04 weeks					
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why not? Not filled a	t the time of audit due	e to negligence				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture det	ail in appropriate audi	t by clause				
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer I Officer	Masood - System and	compliance				
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No						
I: Previous audit date:	October 10-11. 2021.						
J: Previous audit type:	SMETA 2 Pillar						
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	d Yes No						

Audit attendance	Managen	nent	Worker Representatives			
	Senior management		Worker Committee representatives		Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Yes	⊠ No



B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes	□No	⊠ Yes	□No	Yes	⊠ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes	□No	Yes	⊠ No	Yes	⊠ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	NIL					
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	No Union pre	sent in the	factory; factor	y has wor	kers council co	ommittee



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

			Corrective	Action Plan – non	-complianc	es			
Non-Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180, 365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
NC I 0B Management systems and code implementation - 1	New	Description of non-compliance: During factory visit it was found that childcare room was not provided for the children of female workers Local Law requirement Section 53 (2) of Sindh Factories Act 2015; Rooms for children: - Government may also make rules - (a) requiring that in any specified factory, wherein women	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Recommended corrective action Factory shall provide childcare room	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer Masood - System and compliance Officer		

		workers are ordinarily employed, a suitable room shall be reserved for the use of children under the age of six years belonging to such women; and (b) prescribing the standards for such rooms and the nature of the supervision to be exercised over the children therein.						
		Sindh Maternity Benefit Act 2018: It is mandatory for every organization employing 10% or more employees to establish a day care facility within the premises and the installation of CCTV for monitoring of childs well being						
NC 2 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions - 1	New	Description of non- compliance: During factory visit it was noted that first aid supplies found insufficient in 01 first aid box near time office	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Recommended corrective action Factory shall ensure sufficient and appropriate supplies	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer Masood - System and compliance Officer	

		Local Law Requirement: Sindh Factories Rule 1975 section 94(1); in every factory there shall be maintained in good working condition First aid appliances as specified in Appendix I. The First aid Appliances shall be placed under the charges of responsible person, who knows to use them. And shall be kept in readily accessible place within the factory. The work First Aid shall be clearly painted on the box.		provided in first aid boxes				
NC 3 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions - 2	New	Description of non-compliance: During factory visit it was noted that inner sheet found missing inside 01 electric panel at mechanical workshop and rubber mat found missing under 01 electric panel near stairs. Local Law Requirement: Rule 62(1) of The Sind Factories Rules, 1975:	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Recommended corrective action: Factory shall provide inner sheets and rubber mats to all electric panels	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer Masood - System and compliance Officer	

		62. (1) In every factory, all electrical circuits or part of such circuits or any object electrically connected with them whether commonly or occasionally in an electrifled condition, which by reason of their position could cause injury to any person, shall be protected adequately, either by nonmetallic fencing or insulation or by both, in such manner as to remove danger of injury.						
NC 4 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions - 3	New	Description of non-compliance: During factory visit it was noted that 01 emergency light found missing at emergency exit near raw material store. Local Law Requirement: Section 29(4) of Sindh Factories Act 2015: In every factory, every window, door or other exit affording means of escape in case of fire, other than means of exit in ordinary use, shall be distinctively marked in a language understood	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Recommended corrective action: Factory shall provide emergency light on emergency exits.	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer Masood - System and compliance Officer	

by the majority of the workers and in red letters of adequate size or by some other effective and clearly understood sign. Section 21. (1) of the Sinch Factories Act 2015; in every part of a factory where workers are working or passing, there shall be provided and maintained – (a) sufficient and suitable lighting, natural or artificial, or both; and (b) emergency lighting of special points in workroom and passages to function automatically in case of a fallure of the ordinary electric system

	Corrective Action Plan – Observations								
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)					
OB 1	New	Factory has not defined and explicitly communicated the United Nation Guiding principles on Business and Human Right Implementing the	Due to negligence	Factory should make policy and communicate this to all workers, factory					



0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP -1		United Nations "Protect, Respect and Remedy" Framework in the factory and through its supply chain.		management ensured to provide training to workers.
OB 2 OB Management systems and code implementation - 1	New	No risk assessment has been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the company policy and procedure	Due to negligence	Factory shall conduct risk assessment to evaluate the effectiveness of factory policy and procedures
OB. Management Systems	New	Factory has not completed SAQ at the time of audit	Due to negligence	Factory shall complete SAQ as required.

Good examples			
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments	
	Nil		



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.				
A: Site Representative Signature:	Mr. Muhammad Tanveer Masood	Title: System and compliance Officer		
		Date : October 19,2022		
B: Auditor Signature:	Zeeshan Aslam	Title: Lead Auditor		
		Date: October 19,2022		
C: Please indicate below if you, the site management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes.				
D: I dispute the following numbered non-compliances:				
E: Signed:		Title		
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)		Date		
F: Any other site Comments:				



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: Sedexglobalcom

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP